




Tess, Brazil

Daniel 11:40. We're building a structure. We need to understand why WW2 is significant. We're going to repeat our logic—we're taking Daniel 11:40 as a compare and contrast. We have two TOE. This is our first structure that we've built. Daniel 11:40 - first we see a deadly wound of the KN then a death; then a deadly wound for the KS then a death. We know that we can compare and contrast the KN and KS based on what model?




KN - KS we can overlay over the compass using the 'geography' model



In Acts 27 we had the east wind. What country is that? For the E & W we don't have clarity. But when it comes to the N & S you can locate them on a map. If I asked you to take me to absolute east - you would have no idea where to take me. It's the same with the west. We would be spinning around the globe. If I asked you to take me N or S we would end up at a pole. A geographically precise location. When we bring in the idea of poles, we then know these are polar opposites. If they're polar opposites, then you can compare and contrast them, because they're going to have similarities and differences. Both are covered in ice. One has a land mass and one doesn't. One has polar bears and one doesn't. There are differences but we have to recognize there are similarities. When we consider geography we know we can compare and contrast N & S. Which makes you wonder - the KN is church over state. What is the KS? Compare/contrast: KS = state over church. There is a church there as well, but there's a switch in relationship. You can learn a lot from compare/contrast. We compared/contrasted part (a) & (b) - then created another structure above. The deadly wound would heal, a resurrection of the KN so there would be a resurrection of the KS. This is our first structure. Then we created another structure separate to this.


We said if this is the KN (1798-1799 → resurrection) or Babylon, we know that what resurrects at the end of the world is modern Babylon. Now, instead of comparing the KN & KS, we're going to compare modern Babylon with modern Israel. How many histories does modern Israel come in? Two. There's an alpha and an omega. So instead of comparing the KN & KS, we're going to compare modern Babylon with modern Israel. When it resurrects it must have an alpha and an omega. Can you see that these are two separate structures?



Tess, Brazil

What is modern Babylon? What is it formed from?
Counterfeit. Now instead of comparing the N & S, we're comparing the True and counterfeit.

This is our second structure. Now when we look at the resurrection of the KN, we know there must be an alpha and omega. How do we know the KS must then resurrect in two steps? Or is it going to resurrect in two steps?
The KN is going to resurrect. We understand that takes place in two histories. We had the Millerites and 144 - do you consider the KS will resurrect in two steps? (we can't compare the KS with modern Israel)



The question is, we've compared and contrasted the KN with the KS, but the KN is a counterfeit of something: the Truth. So we know we can contrast the True and the counterfeit of modern Israel and modern Babylon. This separate structure will tell us more about modern Babylon. The KS is not a counterfeit of modern Israel, of God's people. We have no license to compare the KS with modern Israel. We're unable to see the KS would resurrect in an alpha and omega history.

What we're reviewing is the two structures we've been developing. Two separate structures - we can compare and contrast the KN & KS. But when we compare and contrast modern Israel and modern Babylon, we can't include the KS.

Compare and contrast
KN & KS

compare and contrast
KN & modern Israel
=
Counterfeit True

Not: KS
Not a counterfeit

modern Israel

We have to see our story on different levels.

Example:	†	=	Lion	†	Lion
	†	=	Lamb	†	Lamb
				Same	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> not the same

We need to come to two conclusions:

- 1st we can see the KS will resurrect
- 2nd when the KN resurrects it will come in an alpha and omega

Again, when we construct the model of the KN & KS we have two story options: we can say as we have when the KS died 1989/1991, when we say the KS was not the USSR, but Russia - that it didn't die, it may have faked it's own death, and it's just simmering waiting to boil up again, it's still there waiting for an opportunity to strike back; that's one story. The other story is that the USSR was the KS. It received a deadly wound and literally died as the KS. Later it would resurrect.

Who was the KS prior to 1991?

In 1989 who is the KS that is receiving the deadly wound? The USSR

If we compare and contrast, we see it must have died. So did Russia die? NO. So Russia didn't die? Is Russia part of the USSR? Yes. Is Russia the KS? In 1993? When did it resurrect?


The USSR KS receives a deadly wound and dies - not Russia
Russia is resurrected as the KS

Compare and contrast Daniel 11:40 a/b -
the KS died as the USSR
the KS resurrects as Russia

USSR satellite states
One is Russia—seat of government

We say in 1991 all these satellite states are taken away - but Russia carried on through. That somehow it survived 1989/91, the deadly wound and death. The problem with that logic is that we connect a king with a kingdom. In 1798 who received a deadly wound? Pius VI, died in 1799. In 1991 what happened to him (KS)? He died. So, who received the deadly wound in 1989? Gorbachev. What is he the king of? USSR. So, when 1991 comes who falls? Gorbachev and the USSR—king and kingdom. He's not the general secretary of Russia. He's the general secretary of the USSR.

Part of the problem we face is we expect history to look different when we project that history into the future. So, in the back of our mind we're thinking Panium, SL. We don't expect it to look like this where the nation can continue




through. We want to see the US come in and take over. We want the death to look a little bit more obvious. I'm suggesting that it didn't back then but it actually died.

1798 the pope is taken captive because the papacy is still opposing in some way Napoleon. 1809 what happened? That pope isn't falling into line. So, Napoleon walks in and takes him captive. If we dig into these histories they're not so simple or obvious. We're directed to know what's happening based on prophecy. Otherwise someone might ask you why you're even marking 1798 because 1796 was the battle between the papacy and France. Then in 1809 there's another pope taken captive. But prophecy directs us what to look at.


Tess, Brazil

The KN resurrects in two histories. Both histories a resurrection - separate and distinct from each other. It's like the Millerites and 144K and I'm marking in this history 1917, which is a preparation for a battle in this history—a war between the KN and KS.



When we look at modern Israel, we say Millerites is the history of the 1st angels message and the 144,000 is the history of the 3rd angel. Where do you see the history of the 2nd? In both. They both contain the 2nd angel's message.

When we consider Fatima, WW1 and WW2 are one war with a short break for peace in the middle. But it's one war with the same players. Our history we call WW3. But in both histories you can place the 2nd message of Fatima. And their 2nd angel's message is to defeat the KS.




So that preparation began in 1917, the war with the KS and that is repeated in our history. WW1 and WW2 take on extra significance. We have different histories to show us what war looks like. And that typifies war between the N & S.


But when we consider modern Israel, if we need a reference point to know what it looks like, where do we go? Our alpha—the Millerite history. So, if we want to know what this war looks like between the KN and KS, we want to know what the omega looks like, we go to the alpha - WW2. It becomes more significant than just one typification. I would suggest it's necessary to understand WW2.

We understand what the world looks like back then in the history of Pyrrhus. 323bc, Alexander the Great dies, then you have 22 years of fighting. The four Diadochi wars. We pick up the 3rd and 4th. What's happening in the 3rd and 4th war? You have five generals

WW1 & WW2 were one war with an armistice in the middle. The 3rd & 4th Diadochi wars are one war with an armistice in the middle. But in these wars you find the same players, our allies against Antigonus and his son Demetrius. There's been a peace treaty at the end of the 3rd war. There's this period of peace where they prepare to fight again.



When is that peace broken? When Demetrius liberates Athens from a dictator. Who placed that dictator? Cassander in 317bc (10 years previously). We mark a 10-year period and there's this strife in Greece over whether those city states will align themselves with the allies or Antigonus. And in 307bc, Demetrius liberates Athens from a dictator that Cassander placed there 10 years before. This begins the 4th Diadochi war.



We know before the TOE we can mark a 10-year history. There's a war that goes on for 10 years and they're fighting for influence in the middle east. So Demetrius liberates Athens, they go to war and a few years later, 303bc we see Pyrrhus enter the scene. He's about 15 years of age and he wants to be a general for Demetrius. So he's working for Demetrius, training. Demetrius and Pyrrhus go into an alliance. Pyrrhus' sister Deidamia marries Demetrius to reinforce this new alliance. This 4th war all leads up to the battle of Ipsus in 301bc. This is the culmination where we see our allies now facing off against Antigonos and Demetrius — who is aided by Pyrrhus, if we could see the rest of this story. But to begin with we're just dealing with this history 317bc-301bc. If we carried on we would recognize that Pyrrhus and Demetrius are enemies for the rest of this history. Before they go to war, they're first allies. This is the one battle they fight as allies where Pyrrhus is fighting for the same cause as Demetrius.


This alliance between the KN and KS is 2014 and all leads up to one battle where they're on the same side, same course; that's the 2016 election.

317bc - 307bc you have a 10-year period and also is a proxy war fighting for influence in these key city states, and also marking the #4

317bc - 307bc is not clearly a proxy war. We need to consider a proxy war. If we used Afghanistan, what's happening there? You have two parties fighting - one the government, the other the rebels. Why is Trump wanting to pull troops out of Syria? We call it a proxy war - why do we have troops in Syria? They are there and reinforcing opposite sides, but it's still a proxy war.

We understand there was war in Heaven, Satan and his angels were thrown out and forced down here on earth. That war

continues here on earth in the form of a proxy, seed of satan and woman— which is human beings to human beings. If we're going to define proxy, the originators of that war, like Russia and the US, where do you find a proxy where they don't get their hands dirty? We would have to ask ourselves what Daniel 10 is or other passages in Scripture where those higher powers directly interject in this war. Just like what is happening in Syria today. It's not about being flexible in our definition of proxy. It's about being accurate in our definition of proxy. Proxy doesn't just mean pawns upon a chess board because there is a hand that is picking those pieces up and pushing the pawns that are killed over. Rather that it being an anomaly that Russia or America are on the ground, it's actually part of what a proxy actually is.



That would be the same dynamic we see in Athens - Cassander has a government he placed - Demetrius has a government he wants to place. The fact that he goes in and pushes that for the overthrow of that government or that dictator changes that definition.


Demetrius' fortunes further improved in 307 BCE when he successfully led a campaign in Greece to free **Athens** from Demetrius of Phaleron. The Antigonids were treated as liberating heroes by the Athenians and given all manner of honours, including their own cults. It is also noticeable that Demetrius allowed the restoration of the **Athenian democracy** and left no garrison at Athens and nor did he in other liberated cities such as **Megara**. The rising military star shone even brighter when he famously defeated Ptolemy's fleet at Salamis, off the coast of Cyprus in 306 BCE. Demetrius is credited with being the first to employ artillery mounted on warships to fire on other warships (as opposed to just cities), when he used both stone and arrow-throwing catapults in the battle. Once again Demetrius was magnanimous in victory for he "not only buried the enemy's dead with full honours but he also set his prisoners free (Plutarch, 348)". As a reward for this great victory Antigonos announced that Demetrius would, from now on, be joint king with him.



We've marked a 10-year period and a proxy war that lead us to a TOE.

We considered Putin - we understand that he is the KS. Who typifies Putin? Stalin. So, we should be able to see Stalin in this history. The war that is fought between the KN and KS we know from when we did those lines of the kings that the KS is Stalin. We want to trace his involvement.

When did he become involved in world affairs? We find when he becomes involved, it's in another proxy war. What proxy war was that? The Spanish Civil War— and that ended in 1939. We mark 10 years prior, marking a proxy war here. This proxy war didn't go for 10 years, but we can still mark a 10-year period. It takes us to a very significant date - 1929 when Hitler begins to rise to power. Some commentators, journalists, and some experts in their field on foreign affairs, (I think it was the former head of the CIA) identified that America - is living through the history of 1933. When we consider what's going on externally, there are elements we also need to lay on top of that in 1933.



When we consider our history, we're seeing war between the KN and KS. We're also seeing Trump rise to power. Some very intelligent worldly people are identifying that we're repeating the history of 1933, Hitler's rise to power, that began in 1929. After WW1, Germany was forced to make payments to the countries it had lost to. They couldn't afford to make those payments so they took out loans from the US to pay. In 1929 the stock market crashed on Wall Street and America wanted that loan/money paid back. So, Germany was particularly badly hit. This was a perfect time for a new leader to promise to make Germany great again. He learned all the phrases he needed to speak to harness all of that fear in Germany and he ran on one slogan that was placed on those banners.

Poster: "Workers of the Mind, of the Fist, Vote for the Front Soldier / HITLER!"

Nazi propagandists distinguished themselves from their leftist opponents by reaching out to both industrial workers "of the fist" and white-collar workers "of the mind." This 1932 presidential election poster also highlights the patriotic appeal of Hitler's status as a World War I veteran. Felix Albrecht, artist; 1932



His argument why he should lead the country was the argument that he wasn't a politician like everyone else— but he was a soldier. He wasn't a part of the establishment. The same argument Trump used, not that he was a soldier, but he was a businessman. He's used the exact same tactics as Hitler. If we talk about learning from history, then there's at least one significant country that does not know their history. They fell for it worse than Germany, as did this movement. So, we can mark a 10-year history 1929-1939. Also, considering the Lateran Treaty.

The Spanish Civil War was where you see Stalin begin to be involved. Because when the KS transitions, or Russia transitioned into the KS, they were too busy internally to be involved. They had years of Civil War. Stalin had to consolidate power. He begins to be involved in the Spanish Civil War.

The reason for that is that he has seen these fascist governments rise all over Europe. First of all he saw Hitler, Mussolini, and now he sees General Franco. And now in many countries in Europe they have political parties that are allied to either Communism or Fascism.

It's turning into this strife within each country. Stalin is now worried there's too many fascist governments in Europe. So, Spain descends into civil war. On one side of the civil war you have Stalin. He sent over so much military supplies to support the government of Spain against Franco that he ended up taking 2/3 of Spain's gold reserves. At the beginning of the war Spain had the world's 4th largest reserves of gold.

On the other side with General Franco - was Hitler (Germany), Mussolini (Italy) and the Vatican - so the papacy, Germany and Italy - all on the same side. At the end of the Spanish Civil War, Pius XII praised God for Franco's victory.



Fascists	Communism
Mussolini (Italy) Hitler (Germany)	Stalin (USSR)
Send aid to Franco	Send aid to Spanish government

HITLER'S POPE
THE SECRET HISTORY OF
PIUS XII JOHN CORNWELL


Because once again, God's hand showed itself over Spain. During that Civil War, the Catholic Church turned it into some type of holy war or crusade. To save Spain from the Marxist cord. To save Spain from Communism.

Soon after the Spanish Civil War ends on 23 August, a few months later, these two enemies had just fought a proxy war, go into an alliance.


Germany and the USSR—you can imagine the shock. This is called the Molotov Ribbentrop Pact. There were two parts to this pact. One of them was a non-aggression pact, and this is where Stalin promises Hitler that if Hitler went to war with the west, Stalin would not take advantage of his weakness and attack him as well. So if you were fighting someone—'I'm not going to attack you while you're fighting someone else'. Hitler had to have this non-aggression pact to invade Poland, which he does about nine days after the pact was signed. He was waiting on this before he would enter war with the west.



Breaking their peace treaty, 4th Diadochi War



The 2nd part is the division of spheres of influence. This is where they carved up Eastern Europe. The non-aggression pact was open, everyone knew about it. The 2nd, the division into spheres of influence, was secret. On Sept 1, Hitler invaded Poland. This began war on the western front.



Hitler's issue wasn't Poland. It was the way he invaded Poland. Poland had some very powerful allies - France and Britain. It didn't take him long to take Poland by force. But where he's now fighting on that western front is Britain and France. Who declared war on him because of the invasion.

*article: Los Angeles Times, Aug. 19, 1989 - placing us in a significant history. The USSR is beginning to crumble. Gorbachev has begun his transparency program glasnost - meant to show them as being more open. This is the first time the USSR admits to a secret pact with Germany. Because if these satellite states of the USSR knew that they'd been given to Russia by Hitler, that would weaken the Soviets control over them. So the USSR had never admitted that they had this pact. And after decades of denying it, in 1989 they admit this pact for the first time. A member of the government of the USSR admitted that there was this pact and he says there was, with no doubt, collusion between the USSR and Nazi Germany. It's not a coincidence that the first word used when the USSR admitted to this pact was 'collusion'. Collusion: Trump and Putin
The effect of this pact on the world - a Romanian author wrote: the whole course of world politics changed in a moment and Britain began preparing for war.

Glasnost and the Surveillance State

On taking office, Gorbachev, the first leader of the Communist Party to be born after the Bolshevik revolution in October 1918, quickly made his reformist intentions clear. Publicly, Gorbachev argued that during the 1960s and 1970s, the Communist Party's aggressive surveillance of political dissidents and censorship of the media and arts had resulted in an atrophied and corrupt political system. To rectify this, he advocated for greater press freedom, cultural and literary expression, and political debate.

Gorbachev introduced glasnost as part of a broader reform effort aimed at promoting "socialist democracy." In addition to permitting more debate and transparency, Gorbachev implemented perestroika, a far-reaching program of economic restructuring that allowed limited free enterprise, as well as demokratizatsiya, the establishment of multicandidate elections for Communist Party positions. Although Gorbachev did seek to overhaul the Soviet system, he remained committed to the core principles of socialism.

They cleared their hospitals, put blue lights in their railway stations, they packed sand bags, evacuated (museums?), sent children into the countries, taped their windows. All because of this pact: division of spheres of influence. Now they knew there would be another WW. On Sept 1, eight days after the signing of the pact, Hitler invades Poland. This is what this pact was designed for — an invasion where they would both be on the same side.

Tess, Brazil

Review two histories - compare to our own

Pyrrhus = KS Where he begins to be involved in world affairs

Marking a 10-year history - Demetrius freeing Athens from a dictator which sparked war between Demetrius and Antigonus against our three allies.

10-year - proxy - then an alliance - Demetrius and Pyrrhus alliance is first demonstrated in a battle in 301bc. It's the only battle they go in as allies. We connected Pyrrhus to Putin - and Putin to Stalin. This is the beginning of Pyrrhus's work as the KS.

WW2 - we overlaid that with WW2. 10 years, proxy war, an alliance between Hitler and Stalin. This proxy war was WW2 in training because what WW2 really was, was a worldwide struggle between Fascism and Communism. Hitler only went to war with the west because he knew that unless they let him, he couldn't conquer the east. His end goal was always to take down the USSR.

2 Super powers
KN vs KS
To be only 1 super power

The proxy war is the Spanish Civil War:

On the side of Fascism	Hitler Mussolini	} Supporting General Franco
* the papacy sided with Fascism		
On the side of the republican Government of Spain	Stalin	

General Franco won in that war, a victory for Fascism and Hitler

The next interaction between the KN and KS is an alliance that took the world by surprise. The other countries recognized that they were natural enemies.

There were two parts to this alliance 1 non-aggression pact (open)

This enables Hitler to go to war with western Europe

2 Spheres of influence (secret)

Where they divided up, particularly Eastern Europe between them.

This secret was first admitted to in 1989 where they admitted to collusion with the Nazi government.

Molotov Ribbentrop Pact: the purpose of the alliance was to enable Hitler to invade Poland. You have three allies on this history: Poland, France and Britain. Hitler wanted to take Poland. To take Poland meant war with all three. To fight all three, he had to have peace with the USSR. The pact gave him peace on the eastern front so he could engage in war on the western front, so Hitler could take on three allies.

Making application we recognize (1) 10 years leading to the TOE; (2) mark a proxy war. 1979 marks more than the beginning of this proxy war - also when the pope goes to the White House. You can mark interesting things in 1979 that teach us about the information age

(1) period of 10 years (2) a proxy war

The next thing we're to see between the KN and KS is an (3) alliance that took everyone by surprise. It's recognized there was collusion between Trump and Putin that enabled WW3.

The **Molotov–Ribbentrop Pact**, officially known as the **Treaty of Non-aggression between Germany and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics**, was a neutrality pact between Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union signed in Moscow on August 23, 1939 by foreign ministers Joachim von Ribbentrop and Vyacheslav Molotov, respectively.

The clauses of the Nazi–Soviet Pact provided a written guarantee of peace by each party towards the other, and a declared commitment that neither government would ally itself to, or aid an enemy of the other party. In addition to stipulations of non-aggression, **the treaty included a secret protocol that defined the borders of Soviet and German "spheres of influence" in the event of possible rearrangement of the territories belonging to Poland, Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, and Finland. The secret protocol also recognized the interest of Lithuania in the Vilnius region; in addition, Germany declared complete disinterest in Bessarabia. The Secret Protocol was just a rumor until it was made public at the Nuremberg trials.**



Thereafter, Germany invaded Poland on September 1, 1939. Soviet leader Joseph Stalin ordered the Soviet invasion of Poland on September 17, one day after a Soviet–Japanese ceasefire at the Khalkhin Gol came into effect. After the invasion, the new border between the two powers was confirmed by the supplementary protocol of the German–Soviet Frontier Treaty. In March 1940, parts of the Karelia and Salla regions in Finland were annexed by the Soviet Union after the Winter War. This was followed by Soviet annexations of Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and parts of Romania (Bessarabia, Northern Bukovina, and the Hertza region). Advertised concern about ethnic Ukrainians and Belarusians had been proffered as justification for the Soviet invasion of Poland. Stalin's invasion of Bukovina in 1940 violated the pact, as it went beyond the Soviet sphere of influence agreed with the Axis.

The territories of Poland annexed by the Soviet Union after the 1939 Soviet invasion of Poland (east to the Curzon Line) remained in the USSR at the end of World War II, and currently are parts of Ukraine and Belarus. The former Polish Wilno region is currently a part of Lithuania, and the city of Vilnius is its capital. Only the region around Białystok and a small part of Galicia east of the San river around Przemyśl were returned to the Polish state. Of all other territories annexed by the USSR in 1939–40, the ones detached from Finland (Western Karelia, Petsamo), Estonia (Estonian Ingria and Petseri County) and Latvia (Abrene) remain part of the Russian Federation, the successor state of the Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic upon the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991. The territories annexed from Romania had also been integrated into the Soviet Union (as the Moldavian SSR, or oblasts of the Ukrainian SSR); nowadays, the core of Bessarabia forms Moldova, while the northern part of Bessarabia, Northern Bukovina, and Hertza form the Chernivtsi Oblast of Ukraine, and Southern Bessarabia is part of the Odessa Oblast, also in Ukraine.


The Pact was terminated on June 22, 1941, when the *Wehrmacht* launched Operation Barbarossa and invaded the Soviet Union (thus as well executing the ideological goal of *Lebensraum*). After the war, von Ribbentrop was convicted of war crimes and executed. Molotov died aged 96 in 1986, five years before the USSR's dissolution. **Soon after World War II, the German copy of the secret protocol was found in Nazi archives and published in the West, but the Soviet government denied its existence until 1989, when it was finally acknowledged and denounced. Vladimir Putin, while condemning the pact as "immoral", has also defended the pact as a "necessary evil", a U-turn following his earlier condemnation.**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Molotov–Ribbentrop_Pact

#23 Battle of Ipsus 1:33 2/19/2019

Tess, Brazil

Breaking their peace treaty, 4th Diadochi War



The KN and KS will go to war

1st they begin as allies

1st battle fought they're on the same side. An alliance is needed first, like Hitler needed Poland - Trump needed an alliance with Putin

What did Trump need Putin for? What happened in 2016?

In the history of Pyrrhus, we can see four sequential battles. The last three we'll study later. They show the KN against the KS. In the 1st of the four they are allies. The 1st battle is Ipsus.

There are six parties or players:

Antigonus - takes one part of the army

Demetrius - his son; note: Pyrrhus is fighting for Demetrius, he is Demetrius' general. He's not fighting for Antigonus

These two men are fighting three allies, the allied forces

Allies: Seleucus
Cassander
Lysimachus

Cassander
Lysimachus
Seleucus

VS

Antigonus
Demetrius
↑Pyrrhus

Tess, Brazil

Ptolemy was going to go to the fight, but heard a false report that they lost to the allies and didn't turn up to the fight

*consider one concept first: when we look at a battle or a story, it can be seen on different levels or layers, from different perspectives. By seeing things from different perspectives, you learn different aspects, pieces of information.

Example:

Matthew 13:24 Another parable put He forth unto them, saying, **The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man** which sowed good seed in his field:

Who is this man sowing? Christ

13:37 He answered and said unto them, **He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man;**

This is a story about agriculture. We can see the Man sowing represents Christ

Mark 4:26 And he said, So is the kingdom of God, **as if a man** should cast seed into the ground;

4:27 And should sleep, and rise night and day, and the seed should spring and grow up, **he knoweth not how.**

The same story of agriculture. Does God know how seed grows? Yes. So, who did this man represent? It's the same story as Matthew and the Man is Christ. Who is this man? Verse 27 he's sowing, while he's sleeping his seed is sprouting up and growing - and to the man this is a mystery. Is it a mystery to God? No. This man has some level of humanity - it's the same story but the man is not Christ. Ellen White will tell us that this is us, that we sow the seed.

When we talk about a story having different levels and being able to look at it from different angles, not one of those angles is wrong, but can give us more information. It's the same thing when we consider the field. Is it the world or the church?

So we can also see history and battles on different levels.

In the battle of Ipsus, you have Antigonus and Demetrius on one side. Demetrius is supported by Pyrrhus, who's acting as his general. This is the 2016 election.


Antigonus = to be like or equal to the ancestor. Who would this ancestor be? When we consider our story, who is this story saying that Antigonus is, or like unto? Daniel 8 - who was the king of Greece? The 1st king?

Alexander the Great - the empire builder

Antigonus becomes equal to the ancestor.

Antigonus = Alexander

What did Alexander do? He built that empire—they are empire builders



Ipsus

Antigonus
Demetrius
Pyrrhus ↑

vs

3 allies
Cassander
Lysimachus
Seleucus

Antigonus was the last or only general who could have united that empire, who was uniting the empire in the same way as Alexander the Great. When he died, all hope was lost. You have Antigonus and Demetrius with Pyrrhus facing off against the three allies. Two parties are fighting for what? What do Antigonus and Demetrius want to do? They want to defeat the allies - which means to conquer or subdue them, take them captive, to control them.

Tess, Brazil

In an election cycle, what the parties are trying to control are the three branches of the US government. In 2016 what are our parties fighting for? To take over and control what? They want to control all three branches. Trump has the Executive branch, but he is not very strong because in the mid-term election last year he lost the house. There are three branches that they're fighting for in 2016.

We have two separate parties: Antigonus and Demetrius

Antigonus represents the empire builder and is equal to the ancestor that built the empire to begin with.

Demetrius is entering that battle with an important ally - that ally is the KS

Who is Antigonus? Who represents the empire builder? Hilary = Antigonus

What is Hilary representing? If she is Antigonus, then who is she like? The ancestor. Who is the ancestor?

Clinton represents the republican horn.


This ancestor is George Washington.

You could call it Lincoln, or Roosevelt, or Reagan. You can call it anything you want. But if you want to take it to the empire builder then Clinton is equal to what created that empire in the 1st place. Hilary Clinton becomes an example of this empire, of this republican horn.

Trump has said that his whole campaign in 2016 rested on the argument that she represented the establishment. We've turned the idea of the establishment to a dirty word. He said she's the establishment, the swamp, the government and he was right. If you don't make them dirty words, and understand that what she stood for was the republican horn. And she's equal to the ancestor

Alexander and Antigonus

Beginning and end



There are two separate parties in the 2016 fight for control of the three branches of the US government. What are they fighting for in 2016? The three branches. Who's fighting? Donald Trump and Hilary Clinton


When it comes to these parties, you have two choices - Antigonus and Demetrius. One of them is being supported by the KS and one of them represents the establishment. As Donald Trump tried to define it, the swamp. And they represent an alpha and omega. This person represents that leadership of the glorious land since it was made an empire.

Then we understand in this battle, who's killed? Who becomes king? Demetrius becomes king and Antigonus is killed

Clinton + Trump	What we have is a story of the
Antigonus + Demetrius	US election

This is the republican party vs the democratic party. One party stands for the republican horn. It's an omega of the person that created the empire. The other party is coming into that battle with the KS.

In this battle, Antigonus is killed, Demetrius flees, he's made king and his father is now dead



We need to understand the results:

Ipsus

Antigonus

killed



Demetrius

made king




Pyrrhus ↑

was undefeated and they made a point of that

Demetrius becomes king in this battle, despite the loss of his father, Antigonus, who is killed. This is also the first battle Antigonus has ever lost. He's over 80 years of age. Undefeated, continued his whole career representing the one ancestor undefeated. With Antigonus, he has two names. One was given to him as a nickname: Monophthalmus = one eye. Like most people, he's born with two eyes. Somewhere through the course of his career, an arrow hit him in one eye and blinded it.

He begins with two eyes. Sometime before this battle, he loses one. Then in this battle he loses the other when he dies. Antigonus represents this empire, as well as Hilary Clinton, she's representing two horns - one has already fallen in battle. It's in this battle that other horn falls. Born with two. In this battle, the other eye/horn is lost. So, we can bring in the idea of the republican horn. We talk about it 'fallen' - the republican horn has already fallen - it fell in 2016 when Hilary Clinton lost.



What about Demetrius?

Demetrius has interesting morals. Most of the significant battles he lost or his #1 weakness was the fact that his own people were disgusted with him.

Demetrius → "Demeter" goddess of corn and harvest

Demetrius brings harvest. Without Demetrius there is no harvest. Go back to our symbology, people come here and teach from Arkansas, whose name starts with "P" - that these are equally bad people (Trump and Clinton). That both could have won that election, but it's good that Clinton didn't win. Because if she had won, the world would have ended a lot sooner. But, there is a problem with that logic from "P" - without Donald Trump, there is no harvest. Harvest only came from one of those people. We wouldn't face Raphia, Panium, SL without Donald Trump. If Hilary Clinton won, what do we have? Same as the ancestors. Same as George Washington. Another four years of Abraham Lincoln or Roosevelt - not the end of the world. We need to start considering these battles, particularly Ipsus. They actually unpack to us how we should observe our election and what these two people stood for. If that makes us uncomfortable, we might need to start rethinking our thought process; why it would make us uncomfortable. Because prophecy lays it out quite simply.

You have two people, two institutions or parties. One represents the ancestor, Alexander the Great, and how this country became great in the first place, and is continuing that legacy.

The other stands for harvest, allied with the KS. In this battle, Trump is made king. Taking over his three allies - three branches of the US government. Demetrius is made king, Pyrrhus is undefeated. Antigonus had already lost one eye - so he's already fighting at a disadvantage, one horn had already fallen. When he's defeated in that battle, or when Clinton loses that election, the republican horn is broken. It is now hopeless to unit that empire - it is gone.


When we look at the battle of Ipsus, we're going to look at it from two different perspectives. This is one perspective. What we don't understand now, the other perspective will clarify. But like when we look at a verse, we don't go to another verse to explain the one we don't understand. Antigonus is killed by particularly Seleucus and what does Seleucus use to kill him? Elephants. We would identify that as a new mode of warfare. In this battle, Antigonus is killed by elephants or as a result of the elephants. One thing to consider in this battle - it is discussing a civil war, which is essentially what 2016 was. Hilary Clinton and Donald Trump are not just people, but political parties. Where do the political parties dwell? If this is the republican and democrats, what are the republicans and democrats?

These are in some ways, the allies. If we're talking about a new mode of warfare, what do we understand that new mode of warfare to be? Cyber information war.

Who invented it? Who invented the internet? the deep web? - The US. They're taken down by their own weapon. If you look into the 2016 election, Hilary Clinton was looking like she was going to win until James Comey announced he was investigating her a few days before the election.

Where would you place James Comey on our lines?

FBI - in the allies. You can see this story on its own, but we also want to look at it from another perspective, and we'll gain more light on that - particularly the elephants.



Republicanism is a system of government and not the political parties - democrats and republicans.

The story, or structure shows where to place the republican horn. The Republican party was established just before the civil war, in the 1850s. They've actually switched roles - an example for that is in the American Civil War. The republican party was fighting to free the slaves, but by the time you get to the civil rights movement, they're opposing the civil rights movement, not the democrats. There's actually a period of history where they changed roles for what they represented when they were created.

On our reform line, at 1989, it's the republican party that speaks for Protestantism. It's Reagan, a republican that goes into an alliance with John Paul II. George Bush at 9/11, a Republican. It's Trump now, a republican and it will be republican at the SL. They're the ones tied to Protestantism. (March 20, 1854, beginning of republican party)

In America - there was the northern states and the southern Bible belt. The northern states were the republican party. Their first president was Abraham Lincoln. They fought for the abolition of slavery. But now it's like they've switched roles - especially seen in the civil rights movement. Now it's the south, the Bible belt that's so strongly republican. And it's the north that's democrat, for the most part, how they are divided.

In the battle of Ipsus, it's the elephants that decide that battle. The elephants cut off Antigonus and he's killed. We understand the elephants represent a new mode of warfare, which will be defined.

Rev 3 Laodicea represents the condition of Adventism at the end of the world. One thing we understood from Acts 27 is that you can parallel the path of Adventism with the USA. Both institutions and their paths parallel at every single waymark. The problem with Adventism is we've forgotten why we were raised up - what principles raised us up in the first place. We compare and contrast—it would be an interesting study to see what the USA was created for.


We're reading the Constitution different— because we got to 2016, it's the Protestants that are going to lead Donald Trump to restore the Constitution. The lines of prophecy show that he's the one that destroys it. And Hilary Clinton stands for people that created it in the first place.

Consider the Constitution, and what the USA was raised up for.

We don't know how wrong we got the 2016 election. It goes deeper than 'we should have known Trump would win - because whoever was going to win must be rich and we think he has a lot of stuff.' It goes deeper than that, why we got 2016 wrong...it wasn't reading into his personal wealth that made us get it wrong. **It's how we're defining politics and the Constitution, and which stream of information we're listening to.**

To recap Ipsus from this perspective, we see two parties, two people - Antigonus and Demetrius, both going into this battle against three allies. Antigonus is cut off from his army due to the elephants. He can't be saved, he dies. Demetrius becomes king. He's going to this battle with a general: Pyrrhus. We defined Antigonus as equal to the ancestor. If he's equal to the ancestor, then we can do an alpha and omega—a beginning and end of the empire. You can line up George Washington as the beginning of this empire with Hilary Clinton at the end. We also understand that he had one eye. Born with two, he lost one through the course of his life. At Ipsus, he's killed, and loses his other eye.

There's nothing wrong with that other eye. He's fighting in the battle at over 80 years of age with only one eye. Note: eye/horn is in tact. It's not something that has been damaged in history, where it finally crumbles. He falls when he's killed.




Tess, Brazil

We defined Demetrius → comes from “Demeter” goddess of corn and harvest. So, it’s Demetrius that brings the harvest. Without Donald Trump there would be no Raphia and Panium. If there’s no Raphia and Panium we don’t have a harvest. When it comes to this story, Demetrius, we can see the horn intact and falling at once. We can mark a dictator in 2016, 2019, Panium and SL. And at each waymark we can see the raising up of a dictator. We can see the horn broken at other points. We’re just showing it in this story that it is not giving the idea of a gradual breakdown. The ancestor and Antigonus is consistent all the way until 2016, to make sure we don’t put into history what we want to see—and building a story of destruction that is not in this story. But people make application of 9/11 and say the horn falls.

Consider WW2 - we don’t have six players. You just have the five. It doesn’t give us the story of Antigonus and Demetrius because when we come to WW2, Hitler is already in power. Now it’s just a story of two - the KN and KS. Five players and not six. To consider what that looks like we have Hitler, being supported by Stalin, against a three fold enemy. What’s Hitler going to take? Poland. He goes into Poland and takes it. He takes it rapidly, fast. One branch is taken quickly. What then happens is it starts war with the rest.

If we consider the invasion of Poland, it’s something different to consider. When we talk about WW2, how many fronts are there? Two fronts. The invasion of Poland is Hitler taking on those three allies. He takes Poland quickly. What did Donald Trump take quickly? The Executive Branch. One branch taken quickly. The legislative and judicial take time. This sparks, (*that we don’t see in the history of Pyrrhus*) this war on the western front. When did WW2 begin? In our history, in application? In the actual history, it ended 1945. Where would we mark WW2 repeating? Before WW2 begins, what did the KN and KS do? They went into an alliance. When did they make an alliance in our history? 2014 - collusion. It’s a war on two fronts: first on the western, then the eastern. Where does the western front begin? The western front (actual WW2) began with what invasion? The invasion of Poland. Poland is one of the three allies = the Executive Branch. When did the KN and KS enter an alliance, to take on three allies? 2014. When did WW2 begin in our time? 2016. This is war on the western front. If the news looks intense, it’s because they’re reporting war on the western front. Some of them recognized what their living through. We recognize that sometime over in this history, there will be war on the eastern front. What is war on the eastern front? Who is Hitler fighting on the eastern front? The KS—Stalin/USSR. We understand that Trump will fight Putin when war begins on the eastern front. It’s worth paying attention to what’s happening now. What did the eastern front look like? In WW2, war on the eastern front looked almost identical to war on the western front. So, if we want to know what it looks like when Trump and Putin are fighting, then we should be observing how Trump is fighting on the western front. He’s



going to fight the same way.
2014, collusion is for a purpose: for war on the western front.

