

Parminder Biant 12-26-2019 France





we looked at the structure of The New Testament and we saw that it can teach us about the relationship between the Priests, the Levites and the Samaritans.

The reason we don't always use the word Samaritans, and we use the word Nethinims is because we don't go to Luke 10 for that story.

We first approached this subject from the story that's found in the book of Ezra. In the book of Ezra, when it speaks about the Gentiles, it doesn't say Samaritans, it says Nethinims.

The other reasons we found the use of Nethinims applicable or suitable, is because there's a connection between the Priests, Levites and Nethinims.



They all work in the Temple in some shape or form. That's the reason why we went with Nethinims because they're all Temple workers and are found in the story of Ezra.


However, the use of the word
Samaritan is just as applicable, and
we can apply that as well.


I just want to make one observation, the Priests and Levites are connected with the Temple in Jerusalem, that's obvious and goes without saying.

Perhaps some of us are not aware that in the book of Ezra, when we start talking about the Temple, the Samaritans are also in that book.

What the Samaritans want to do

is help construct the Temple. If you're familiar with this story, they're not allowed to build the Temple, they are forbidden. The Jews, God's chosen people tell the Samaritans that they are not allowed to help with the construction of the Temple. This is the important point to make, that when we think about the construction of the Temple, it's God's Church or his people that are involved in this activity.







it's a woman, a Samaritan woman. She's going to have a discussion with Christ, I'm sure we're all familiar with this story.

This is the story of the Woman at the Well. The reason why this becomes significant is because of where this discussion is taking place? We know it's at Jacob's Well. Jesus has this discussion with her, (you can find this story in John 4) it's not obvious in the biblical story itself but you can go to Ellen Whites Commentary or do some research. This Well is located somewhere geographically.

In John 4, when they have this discussion there's going to be some talk about a Mountain.

If you would go to John 4:20, she's going to call Jesus a Prophet,


20 Our fathers worshipped in this mountain; and ye say, that in Jerusalem is the place where men ought to worship.



She says, "this Mountain and Jerusalem which is Mount Zion." So, there are two Mountains that are being discussed here. The reason why there are two Mountains is because she's saying that the Samaritans worship at this Mountain and the Jews worship at another Mountain.

This Mountain that she's referring to,

it's name is called Gerizim. On this Mountain the Samaritans have built a Temple and this is where they worshipped God, on this Mountain and in this Temple. So, in verse 20, there's an argument about which is the correct Mountain or Temple to worship on. Whether it's Nethinims or Samaritans there both connected with the subject of the Temple.







I want you to remember what we spoke about in the previous presentation. This is a summary of what we spoke about earlier. If you can remember that there are these two groups. We had three columns, each row is either bad or good and they are repeating stories. John is bad and Christ is good. The Disciples & Christ and Judas and the Eleven, these are repeating stories.

When we spoke about John and Christ, which book did we go to? Malachi, and we looked at two verses, Malachi 3:1 and Malachi 4:5. What we saw were two Messengers, the Messenger that prepares the way and the Messenger of the Covenant.



The first Messenger is the one that prepares the way which is **John** the Baptist and he's also referred to as Elijah in Chapter 4. The second Messenger is identified as the Messenger of the Covenant and this is **Christ**. So, we have these relationships in this time between the first and second Messengers. We read from the Desire of Ages that John had some mistakes in his message, he didn't understand the Nature of the Kingdom of Christ.

John is going to give a two fold message, it's the fulfillment of a prophecy and also the timing of that fulfillment. He's correct when it comes to the timing (it's based on Daniel 9) but he's incorrect when it comes to the fulfillment of that.



I hope you can remember that we spoke about this. So, we can see that the first Messenger is half right and half wrong. This is a theme that you get connected to the first Messenger. Some stories only have one Messenger like the story of Moses, or the story of the Millerites and I'm going to argue in this context that there's only one Messenger.

If you went to the story of Moses, in the beginning of his ministry he was half right and half wrong. What does he understand when he begins his ministry as the first Messenger?

Like everyone,

he believes that the time is fulfilled. He knows he's the Messenger because he's the fourth Generation, he has that self awareness. That's the timing, he has that correct. What does he have incorrect?


The fulfillment of the prophecy. How is he going to fulfill the prophecy?

By force of Arms



He says,

he's going to raise an Army and be the leader of that Army. He's going to make war, literal war against Egypt, he's half right and half wrong. It's only at his position as the Second Messenger that he becomes completely correct.




You have the same problem with Miller,

when he begins his journey he's half right and half wrong. They fulfill the first angels message which says what?

"Fear God, give him Glory because the time is fulfilled"

But the fulfillment of that message or what it looks like, he's going to get wrong.



I've given you three testimonies. You all know at the end of the World, the first Messenger will have the characteristic of being half right and half wrong. Where was Miller wrong? He was wrong in the understanding of what subject? It's found here on this 1850 chart, the Sanctuary.

His understanding of the Sanctuary is wrong. What does he think it is?

The Earth. What is it really?

The Heavenly Sanctuary



Where did he get his theology from?

He didn't make it up, he wasn't Laodicean because Laodicean didn't exist then.


He gets it from Sardis, he gets it from the Church.



Moses, is going to bear arms,

where does he get this idea that he's going to fight against Egypt? He gets it from an understanding that the Church had at that time.

John the Baptist, what does he believe? Like the rest of his Nation, he believes that the people are going to be released from captivity. Where did he get that theology from?



He gets that theology from the Church

We have three Testimonies,

but the first Messenger has a wrong understanding of the fulfillment of the prophecy that they're giving. So, when you come to our history you should expect the very same thing. In our history, who is the first Messenger?

We all know who that is, it's Elder Jeff
Pippenger, everyone should be clear and have an
acceptance and understanding of that.



It's very easy to see the fulfillment of this. What he teaches is that the time is fulfilled. Is the time correct?

Of course it is,

the timing is correct. We've now arrived at the time where the prophecy will be fulfilled, 1989. We could argue what that prophecy is. We'll use the language of the First Angel when he says, the hour of his judgement is come. So, the hour of his judgement is come... is come... the hour is come.



That's time, what is the fulfillment?

The hour of the Judgement. That's the fulfillment, the hour, the time has come. The time for what has come?



So, we know that there's going to be a problem

or a mistake on the issue of the Judgement. The mistake is already built-in and all you need to do in order to understand the mistake that Elder Jeff has been teaching is to do what? We have to find out where he got that error from. Which is from whom? The Church. The Church taught him that error and he accepted the error but he gave a message that was based upon time.

This subject or argument we've already mentioned before and it's all in the public record. I want us to think about this, about this error. I'm going to say it's necessary. If it happened in a different way it would never have been fulfilled.

Let's imagine,

that John is half right on the time and half right on the fulfillment. Which means he's 100% correct. So, that means he's right on the time and he's right on the fulfillment. Just imagine that. Is Jesus right on the time? Yes, is he right on the fulfillment? Yes. Lets see what the result of that is.

Jesus is going to go to his hometown Nazareth, and he's going to be invited to speak from the front. He's given the Scroll and he turns to the book of Isaiah and he speaks about what subject? The Captivity, and what does he say? This day (which is time) this prophecy is fulfilled. And they all say, Amen.





until he tells them what the prophecy means.

He says, you're the people that this prophecy is speaking about. That's the correct understanding because he's half right on time and he's half right on the fulfillment. He's completely correct and what do they want to do to him? They want to kill him. They want to take him and throw him over the cliff. They will not listen to his message, God knows that.






the time has come where you need to be born again and when the Romans abuse you, you need to enjoy it, you need to accept it. When they hurt you just turn the other cheek and get more hurt. When they turn you into a slave just work harder. Do you think his message would have been received? Of course not.



He's going to teach them,

that the time has come when we're going to raise up an Army and we're going to destroy the Romans. Everybody loves that message because it's their own message and they accept it. That's why thousands of people followed him.

I just want us to see that there's a problem with the First Angel, the First Messenger. If their message is 100% correct, who will listen? Nobody, it would never be accepted. So, they have to have this mistake built into their message.




You see it in the line of Moses,

you see it in the line of Miller and you see it in the line of Christ. Miller is going to be raised up in 1798. He's going to tell the people, fear God, be scared, give him glory, change your lives because in forty six years Jesus is going to move from here to here (from the Sanctuary to the Most Holy).

You won't be able to see it, you won't be able to feel it and you won't notice anything different in your life.

Now who's going to listen to that?



Nobody!

No one is going to be scared because then they would say to him, what happens when that occurs? He would have said to them, don't worry Able will be judged, it won't even be you that's going to be judged. Then what question would they ask?

When is my name coming up and then he'll say, I don't know. What kind of a foolish message is that? Is it going to scare people? Of course not, that's why he has to give a message that's half wrong.

He says in forty six years Christ is coming and we don't really want to worry about Christ, we don't care about him, do we?



Because we want to worry about this Angel

This is the one we should be scared of because when he comes, he's going to trample upon our heads and blood is going to pour out. This is why you need to be scared because this Angel is going to trample people down and their blood will fall everywhere.

that's enough to scare anybody and that's why you had a movement that was fifty thousand strong.



So, now you come to our history

In 1989 Elder Pippenger is raised up and he says, "the time is fulfilled." They say, Oh, that's good, what's about to happen? He says in a few years, if you don't treat people properly you're going to be destroyed. Who would have believed that?

Nobody. They would have said, what does it mean to treat people properly? Well, he would say women are supposed to wear trousers and were supposed to treat black people equal to white people. Oh, and were not allowed to have any prejudices against any people of different sexual orientations. And all Adventists would have accepted that, right?

How many of you would have accepted all of that?

Not one of you

So, what does he do?
He preaches a message that he got from who? The Church and what message is that?
Remember now, we're all Seventh Day
Adventists. So, he's going to preach a message on what subject?

The Seventh Day, the Sabbath, the Sunday Law issue. He gets that from Adventist Theology. We know that Moses was wrong, John was wrong and Miller was wrong.

"Upon the testimony of two or three a thing is established."

We know a message that we get from a dead Church, whether it's Sardis or Laodicea in the time of Christ or from the failed Church from the history of Moses, you know there are mistakes there.



When he teaches a message

that he gets from a Laodicean Church that there's going to be a literal Sunday Law and the time is fulfilled, all of us would say, that's good.

The problem is that it's half wrong and what's wrong is the event. It's taken us thirty years to work this out.

As you know, we've come to a place where this movement is completely split and separated over this issue of how you read?

How do you read the Bible and how do you read Inspiration or Spirit of Prophecy?

There are many complicated arguments

but we should be able to see this in a very simple and straightforward fashion. It's not difficult to see. There are many false arguments that can be brought to bare on this issue. You can scare people, you can call them names, you can do many things but that doesn't help the argument.

There's one thing that we should know at this very moment in time is that these arguments are more complex than we first realized. There are many things that we've assumed but there not as we assumed them to be. Instead they are much more complex than we realized.

I'm going to give you an example....

The Dragon, the Beast and the False Prophet

Revelation 16:13, we all know this. If I'd asked this question twelve months ago or even six months ago everyone in this room would give the same answer. I'm hoping today that at least some of you will give a different answer. What's the standard answer that we would all have?







Beast



False Prophet

The Dragon, the Beast and the False Prophet

Who's the False Prophet?

USA

Who's the Beast?

The Papacy

Who's the Dragon?

United Nations







Beast



False Prophet

That's what everyone in this room,

has been brought up to believe. If you go to the Time of the End magazine that's what you're taught. I want to ask you a question? Go to Rev.13:1, it talks about a Beast, and this Beast comes out of the Sea. It explains about this Beast, its work and its activities.







False Prophet

Dragon

Beast

When we get to verse 11, it talks about a second Beast. It compares these two Beasts, one comes up out of the Sea and the other comes out of the Earth.

If I were to ask you, who is this Beast that comes up out of the Earth, hopefully you would all know the answer. It's the United States. You can go to Spirit of Prophecy and she confirms this. It's quite easy to see that it's the United States and there's many symbols in here to show you that. Well read verse 11,

11 And I beheld another beast coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spake as a dragon.





In the last part of the verse,

what does it identify the Beast as? The Dragon. Revelation 13:11 tells you who the Dragon is, who's the Dragon? It's the United States. It's not the United Nations. It's straight from the verse and we didn't make any applications or changes, it tells you straight. Once you've identified who that beast is, it's the Dragon power. It says that it speaks as a Dragon. If you run through what the Dragon is, the first place you would go is to Rev. 12, of course.





The point I want to make

is that the way we teach this truth is that it's not taken from inspiration, not in the way that you think it is.

What we also don't understand or appreciate is the development of history as you go through a reform line.






There's absolutely no evidence,

that the United
Nations that exists
today is this
Dragon power in
Bible Prophecy.

It's the United States



Dragon



Beast




False Prophet

This is something that we have manufactured

and where did we get our understanding of this from? Who taught us this? Who taught the Movement this?








Beast



False Prophet



All of this comes from the Church,

most of these major concepts and ideas that we have when it comes to the subject of fulfillment has been taken from the Church.

We've already demonstrated from those three previous histories that the Church is wrong.

This is why there's a split in our movement today because we've stopped taking our understanding of End Time prophecy from the Church and started taking it from Inspiration.






As soon as you start doing that you start getting different answers, one of them is that its the United States is the Dragon power not the United Nations.

We should all be aware that there is a struggle, a fight between the United States and the EU, some might call it the G7, G2O or the UN. There's a struggle between the United States and how it interacts, operates or interfaces with the rest of the World.


The Church teaches that the United States and the Papacy are in some Secret Alliance. That they have secret phone calls with each other, the Church also teaches that there's a black Pope (not skin color black, black in the sense of evil) a hidden secret Pope behind the scenes.



It teaches all of these theories and ideas

and none of them are based upon facts. We have imbibed all of these ideas, there still alive and well in the hearts and minds that follow Future for America and that's why there's a separation happening in our movement.

We've come to a place now where a closer examination of Inspiration teaches us that these things are not correct.





When we take these stories for example: John, the Twelve Disciples and Judas. When we look at the relationship between these different stories we need to be careful how we handle them. I'll give you an example. It's all based upon good and bad. Matthew 25, you have good Virgins and bad Virgins, we can call them wise and foolish.

Well go to another story. Matthew 13, talks about the Wheat and the Tares, what do we call them? Good and bad plants. It's very easy when you see good and bad to say they must be the same story. Therefore, because we think we understand the Parable of the Ten Virgins well, we developed a line for that story. So, what we can do with the story of the Wheat and Tares is overlay it on top of the story of the Ten Virgins and as soon as you start doing that you begin to make mistakes.

First of all, the story of the Parable of the Ten Virgins is not as straightforward as we might think, we've already discussed this issue. I want to hold that thought and I want to add another thought on top of this.

Scalability:

We can't seem to find a French word for Scalability so I'll try to give an explanation of it. To make sure that you have a doctrine or a theology that's correct it has to be applicable at different scales. The smallest scale that you can think of is the individual or one person. You have to have a concept or a doctrine that can be applied to an individual. If it's valid then that same concept can be applied to two people.

Then it goes from the individual at one end and what would be the opposite or other extreme?

Everybody or the Whole



You have to have a doctrine or a concept or a test that's applicable to everybody. It has to be able to scale up and scale down.

That's what scalability is. It's the same doctrine at different sizes or different numbers.

The word you're familiar with is fractals and the concept of fractals is the same as scalability. It's the same idea but just expressed differently. The way we understand fractals is a reform line that has repeating patterns.

So, when we talk about the line of the Priests, it's the same as the line of the 144,000. We could say that the line of the Priests is the same as the line of the World.



It has to be applicable to the individual and all the way up. So, this is where the models of the Church are currently and have begun to break down.

The models that we have taught in the past under scrutiny do not bear the weight, they don't hold up. They're found to be erroneous. These issues may appear to be obvious but they are subtle. When we go to stories we need to be careful how we apply them. When it comes to two famous Parables, the Wheat & Tares and the Ten Virgins we need to be careful how we put them into practice or apply them.

Most of us are familiar with the Parable of the Ten Virgins that was fulfilled in the Millerite history. What date was the Tarrying Time?

April 19, 1844, most of us should be familiar with that by now.

However, the problem is that it depends on what the scale is because we can also demonstrate that the Tarrying Time is October 22, 1844, technically it's the 23rd. So, we have two Tarrying Times in Millerite history, it just depends upon the scale.



If we were to look at the large scale from 1798 to the end of the World, the Tarrying Time would be October 1844. However, if you are looking at the Millerite history, what would be the Tarrying Time? April 1844. I want us to see that depending upon the scale, you end up having two Tarrying Times. We need to be careful how we approach these stories because the Tarrying Time is different depending upon the scale.

When we come to the story of the Ten Virgins, the Millerites think that they are the Ten Virgins, that's how they teach it in their history, it's the last six months of 1844. In Early Writings, pg. 55, I think. Ellen White is going to give a different version of the Tarrying Time.



The Tarrying Time can be different things and it can be applied to different groups of people and that's with just one parable. When it comes to doing different parables we need to be careful about how we handle them.

In our Movement,

for the past three years since 2016, when the subject of Matthew 13 came up, what many people have done is taken that Parable and applied it to this Movement. When they do that they end up coming up with strange answers. Instead of seeing that the answers do not fit reality, (which means we're making a wrong application) what they do instead is begin to manipulate the information or the data.

We were speaking about this during the lunch break and I've had this conversation over and over again with many people. What we need to stop doing is twisting and manipulating the information because we have a very bad habit of doing that. This is why we are in this situation today because many people have taken a document of fulfillment from the Church (which is this literal understanding of a Sunday Law) and continue to hold onto it, it's the same problem, the same issue.

Let's turn to Matthew 13:24, it speaks about this parable of the Wheat and Tares and its seven verses long.

24 Another parable put he forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field:

25 But while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way.

26 But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also.

27 So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares?


28 He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up?

29 But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them.

30 Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.



Ellen White is going to comment on this parable in Christ's Object Lessons, pg. 70, Chapter 4. Before we go into this verse, I'm going to draw the line out, this is the line that we are all familiar with. It's taken from a number of different bible verses, it's the Agriculture model. The reason why the Agriculture Model is so important to understand is because it's found here in Rev. 14. When it comes to the issues of the First, Second and Third Angels Messages what we begin to see after those messages are given, is the Harvest. The first thing that you know when you start dealing with the Agriculture Model and when we just look at the basic understanding of Rev.14, when does the Harvest begin to be discussed? What's the sequence?




First Angels Message, Second Angels Message, Third Angels Message and then what? The Harvest. So, the Harvest begins after what? The Third Angels Message.

The Third Angels Message finishes its work and then what happens? The Harvest Begins.


I've taken that straight from Rev. 14. I haven't done any manipulation of data or changed anything and it's easy to see that when the Third Message finishes then the Harvest begins.






I want to ask you, why has this movement taught for many years that the Third Angels Message carries on into the history of the Harvest, because that's what we've been taught. Just a basic reading of Inspiration teaches you that it's wrong. The reason is because we've taken all of this bad information from our Church background.

I say we, in fact you and I, were taught by the First Messenger these erroneous ideas that he got from the Church. It's very important for you to see this, that it doesn't take a lot to demonstrate that the Third Message ends before Harvest begins. If you don't understand that, you cannot read Inspiration correctly.




When we go to Early Writings 118, and Ellen White says, that the fearful work of the Third Angel is to seal and bind. You can't take a Spirit of Prophecy quote that says seal and bind, and think you know what that means and bring it into the history of the Harvest, when those crops are bound together. You can't manipulate the data that way.

We do this over and over again, which is why we've made these mistakes. You have to take those two statements separately one from the other, you can't combine them incorrectly. We do this over and over again, which is why we've made these mistakes.



We have an Agriculture line, a Ploughing, the Formal Rain, a Latter Rain and we have Harvest in this line of history 1989, 911, Sunday Law, Close of Probation, and the Second Advent. So, this would be your basic understanding of the Reform Line and this is how Christ explains it in this Parable.


We all know what's going to happen in this history, there's plenty of information that teaches us this. This is Jacobs Time of Trouble and also the Seven Last Plagues so we have some information about this history.



We know that these Plagues are Worldwide. It we go to the parable in Matthew 13 when Christ begins to explain this, he's going to tell us the following;

24 The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field:


So, we have a field, and when we start thinking about this Agriculture Model, what is this history here? This is the ploughing of what? What do you plough? You plow fields, so the field in verse 24, is the story of this field.



Which waymark does this parable begin at? In verse 24, what is the first activity? Sowing. The sowing would begin here.

So, Matthew 13 doesn't begin at the beginning of our line; it begins at this waymark. You need to go to other bible passages to take the line to its inception.


It talks about a field, and then that field which was prepared has plants sown into it which grow, mature and then are harvested. This is a story of a field all the way to the end.




How many fields are here? One field. How many plants? Two types of plants in one field, simple enough. Now, go to verse 38,

38 The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one;

Christ will tell you what that field is. He's very clear that the field is the World. In the World beginning in 1989, there are two types of plants. Wheat and the Tares, it's very easy to see.





The man's enemy comes and he sows Tares. So, if the first man is a good man, who is his enemy? It must be the opposite, you have a good man and then you have a bad man. One sows good seed, so the other one must sow bad seed. Which in the verse is called Tares. You have two types of plants in one field, this good man in verse 24, who is that? If you go to verse 37, it tells you.

37 He answered and said unto them, He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man;

The man that sowed the seed is who? Jesus. Verse 25,


25 But while men slept, his **enemy** came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way.


The *enemy*, who is that? If we go to verse 39, it tells you.

39 The **enemy** that sowed them is the **devil**; the harvest is the end of the world; and the reapers are the angels

It's the devil, so are we clear on this issue? On this planet Earth in 1989, God began to prepare the Earth. When he prepared the Earth, two men came, Christ and Satan and they planted on the Earth two types of plants. We call it Wheat and Tares or the good seed and bad seed. The good seed would be the Children of God. How do we know that? It tells us in verse 38,


38 The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one;






Let's Summarize,


The Parable of the Wheat and Tares is dealing with the subject of the World. In this World two groups are planted: the children of God's Kingdom and the children of Satan's Kingdom and what is supposed to happen? They grow together all the way to the Close of Probation. At the close of probation what's going to happen? Our Harvest begins.





I don't want to add too much information here but we do know that the Third Angel finishes his work, here.


We've picked that up from Rev. 14 and we can go to the Spirit of Prophecy and we would see the same thing. When we start talking about how these plants grow, we know that the rain makes them grow and we know that the rain is a symbol of the Holy Spirit.












"The parable is a description of that which pertains to the Kingdom of God. His work of salvation of men and this is accomplished through the Church."


I want us to see what Ellen White is doing. She's taking the same parable and she has applied it not to the World but to the Church. When we analyze what she's doing we must maintain the same structure of this Agriculture Model.



Church 1 / Church 2 World


Priests Levites Nethinims

We need to understand how to apply what she is doing and in order to do that we need to understand this model clearly. We had the Priests, Levites and Nethinims, the relationship between them and we started to think about Matthew 13 in terms of the field being the Church.




The reason why so many people have got this wrong is because what they've done. They've taken Matthew 25 and they thought that they understood it and just took this parable and put it on top and you just can't do that. It's dangerous to do that with the parable itself because it has different applications.

The Tarrying Time is either April or October, depending upon the scale or the fractal.



When we start thinking about Matthew 13, we're already dealing with fractals because it's going from the World down to the Church but the pattern must be the same. What we need to understand is what does this mean for us? There are far too many people who are making mis-applications of this. We're going to have a quick review of this and try to see how we should be applying this parable.



Not when it comes to the level of the World because that's straight forward. It's talking about Jacob's Time of Trouble and the Seven Plagues. It's talking about the Angels that reep that result in the Second Advent. This is the parable that Christ has given it but what we need to do is understand the parable as Ellen White gives it.

Let us pray,

Heavenly Father, we give you praise and thanks for your goodness. As we handle your Word it's often too easy to make mistakes. We have preconceived ideas, we have models that end up being incorrect. Help us to be able to recognize them and when we see that they are wrong help us to understand how to correct those misconceptions. We pray for a blessing in order to be able to do this. We ask you these blessings in the name of Jesus.

Amen

